

---

**From:** jon winston <jwinstonsf@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 20, 2019 5:14 AM  
**To:** Lutenski, Leigh (ECN)  
**Cc:** Poling, Jeanie (CPC); Hong, Seung Yen (CPC)  
**Subject:** Re: Balboa Reservoir environmental review

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you Seung and Leigh. I'll pass this on.

--jon

On Tue, Mar 19, 2019 at 12:35 PM Lutenski, Leigh (ECN) <[leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org](mailto:leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org)> wrote:

Hi Jon,

I hope that Jeanie's email helps to clarify SNA's question about the EIR and how it will analyze transportation impacts. Please also share with SNA that I separately inquired with City College regarding the status of the TDM & parking study that they are conducting. They told me that they will begin to present the results of the study to the College Board of Trustees as soon as this Thursday's board meeting. I don't have any details on the study or the College's process, but it indicates to me that the study findings will be public very soon and will allow the community time to review this spring.

Thanks very much and do reach out with any other questions. Leigh

-----  
Leigh Lutenski

Project Manager, Joint Development

Office of Economic and Workforce Development

San Francisco City Hall, Room 448

Direct: 415-554-6679

Email: [leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org](mailto:leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org)

---

**From:** Poling, Jeanie (CPC)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2019 11:38 AM  
**To:** [jwinstonsf@gmail.com](mailto:jwinstonsf@gmail.com)  
**Cc:** Hong, Seung Yen (CPC) <[seungyen.hong@sfgov.org](mailto:seungyen.hong@sfgov.org)>; Lutenski, Leigh (ECN) <[leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org](mailto:leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** RE: Balboa Reservoir environmental review

Hello Mr. Winston,

Seung Yen forwarded your message to me.

The environmental planning division within the Planning Department is conducting an independent review of the environmental impacts of the development project on the lower reservoir. For the transportation review, the department follows the recently updated [transportation impact analysis guidelines](#). The CEQA analysis for the development project on the lower reservoir must consider existing conditions and foreseeable projects in the vicinity. We have identified these two projects as reasonably foreseeable projects on the upper reservoir:

- Performing Arts and Education Center (102,000 square feet) – currently anticipated to begin operation in May 2023
- Parking structure at north of the east basin (maximum of 877 vehicle stalls)

City College must consider the status of the lower reservoir project when they conduct CEQA analysis for their projects on the upper reservoir.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions about the environmental review process.

Thank you.

**Jeanie Poling**

**Senior Environmental Planner**  
San Francisco Planning Department  
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 415.575.9072 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)

From: jon winston

Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 7:00 PM

Subject: Fwd: BRCAC: Concern and Request for DEIR postponement

To: Lutenski, Leigh (ECN), Hong, Seung Yen (CPC)

Hi Leigh and Seung,

I just want to keep you in the loop. Please let me know if I wasn't accurate in my claims!

--jon

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **jon winston** <[jwinstonsf@gmail.com](mailto:jwinstonsf@gmail.com)>

Date: Wed, Mar 13, 2019 at 5:08 PM

Subject: Re: BRCAC: Concern and Request for DEIR postponement

To: SNA Brick <[brc.sna@gmail.com](mailto:brc.sna@gmail.com)>

Cc: Rita M EVANS <[rita.evans@berkeley.edu](mailto:rita.evans@berkeley.edu)>, Amy O'Hair  
<[sunnyside.balboa.reservoir@gmail.com](mailto:sunnyside.balboa.reservoir@gmail.com)>

I'm not sure but I will look into it with Planning.

I am not a CEQA expert but I do know that there are new [CEQA guidelines](#) that were put in place just a year or so ago. Instead of measuring congestion at intersections, we now analyze the number and length of vehicle trips that are generated by a project. The old model used to discourage infill development in already congested areas.

The [TDM](#) programs that are being developed by the development partners and CCSF are meant to manage demand by offering and encouraging transportation choices other than driving. They are not just studies. If we end up with a TDM program along the lines of what [Santa Monica Community College](#) has, we will have a transportation plan with a goal of limiting vehicle trips that [must be met](#) by all of the employers and landlords in the area.

Again, I will speak with Sueng (who replaced Jeremy) about the best way to approach this and I will let you know how it goes.

--jon

On March 13, 2019 at 1:50:29 PM, SNA Brick ([brc.sna@gmail.com](mailto:brc.sna@gmail.com)) wrote:

Hi Jon,

As we learned at the BRCAC meeting yesterday evening, City College may not have completed their Traffic and Parking studies in time for the Draft EIR comment period. It appears the City College studies will most likely still be in process by the time of the DEIR release. Once the DEIR is released, there is a specific window of time allowed for public comment. Without these studies which are being contracted out by City College to an independent company, we have serious concerns that the EIR will be approved without an opportunity for adequate joint planning and mitigation measures. City College has shown good faith by contracting for and moving ahead with these studies. Without this information that is also important for the planning process of the Balboa Reservoir, our years of effort at citizen input for the improvement of our community will have been for naught.

Can and will the BRCAC take a position on the issue of pushing the DEIR comment period out until City College studies are in?

Thank you,

Jennifer Heggie

SNA BR Committee Chair